1

Patent Application Papers of: William C. Arnold

David M. Chess

John F. Morar

Alla Segal

10   Morton G. Swimmer

Ian N. Whalley

Steve R. White

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REPLICATING AND ANALYZING WORM PROGRAMS

15   <u>CROSS-REFERENCE TO A RELATED PATENT APPLICATION:</u>

This patent application is related to copending and allowed
U.S. Patent Application S.N. 09/041,493, filed 03/12/98,
entitled "Automated Sample Creation of Polymorphic and Non-
Polymorphic Macro Viruses", by Morton G. Swimmer et al.,
20   which claims priority from Provisional Application No.:
60/066,382, filed 11/21/97.

<u>FIELD OF THE INVENTION:</u>

This invention relates generally to methods and apparatus
for analyzing undesirable software entities, such as those
25   known as worms, and relates more particularly to methods
and apparatus for replicating and analyzing worms in an
emulated data processing and data communications
environment.

<u>BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION:</u>

30   It is often necessary, in fields including but not limited
to computer security and computer virus prevention, to

analyze a program or other piece of software in order to determine its potential behavior, when no (or insufficient) information outside of the program itself is available to use in the determination. As part of such an analysis, it

5   is often desirable to execute the software being analyzed in a real or in an emulated environment. This is sometimes referred to as dynamic analysis (as opposed to static analysis, which involves simply examining the binary contents of the software without allowing it to execute).

10   However, it has been found that for software whose important behaviors are different when executed in an environment where access to a network is available, and in particular when the software executes in an environment that has at least some access to the full global Internet,

15   the dynamic analysis of the software becomes very difficult. Simply executing the software on a single isolated real or emulated system will not normally elicit the desired important behaviors. However, allowing the suspect software to execute on a production network, or

20   with a connection to a real network or to the real Internet, is often impossible as well as undesirable.

U.S. Patent No.: 5,440,723 teaches the dynamic analysis of suspected computer viruses, by executing them in an environment where a number of "goat files" are available

25   for infection, and U.S. Patent Nos. 5,398,196 and 5,978,917 teach the use of emulation in the analysis of potentially-malicious software. However, these prior art systems do not specifically involve an analysis of network-dependant behavior, or the emulation of activity or

30   services on a network. U.S. Patent No.: 5,812,826 teaches the use of an entirely emulated network to test the correct function of a monitoring and control system whose expected functions are already fully specified. However, this patent does not specifically address the analysis of individual

pieces of software, or software whose behavior is unknown, or of software which must for safety or other reasons be isolated from real networks.

OBJECTS AND ADVANTAGES OF THE INVENTION:

5   It is a first object and advantage of this invention to provide an improved system and a method for dynamically analyzing software.

It is another object and advantage of this invention to provide an improved system and a method for dynamically
10 analyzing a type of software whose potentially-important behaviors may only be made manifest when the software is executed in an environment where it has, or appears to have, access to a production network and/or to the global Internet.

15 It is a further object and advantage of this invention to provide a system and a method for dynamically analyzing software that executes the software in a real or emulated network environment that includes a monitoring component and an emulation component, wherein the monitoring
20 component captures and/or records behaviors displayed by the software and/or other components of the system, and wherein the emulation component provides the software being analyzed with the impression that it is executing with access to a production network and/or to the global
25 Internet.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The foregoing and other problems are overcome and the objects of the invention are realized by methods and apparatus in accordance with embodiments of this invention.

This invention provides a system and method for dynamically analyzing software, at least some of whose potentially-important behaviors may only be displayed when the software is executed in an environment where it has, or

5   appears to have, access to a production network and/or to the global Internet. The software is executed in a real or emulated network environment that includes a monitoring component and an emulation component. The monitoring component serves to capture and/or record the behaviors

10   displayed by the software and/or other components of the system, and the emulation component gives the software being analyzed the impression that it is executing with access to a production network and/or to the global Internet. In a preferred embodiment, the software being

15   analyzed is effectively confined to the analysis network environment, and cannot in fact read information from, or alter any information on, any production network or the global Internet.

20   The teachings of this invention provide a system, method and a computer program, such as one embodied on at least one computer-readable medium. In accordance with a method for eliciting a behavior from a software program, such as the suspect file, steps are executed of (a) emulating a

25   data communications network having at least one emulated network server coupled thereto, where the at least one emulated network server may operate as an optimistic server when responding to requests received from the emulated data communications network. A further step (b) emulates a host

30   computer, also referred to as a replicator server or host, that is coupled to the emulated data communications network, where the emulated host computer executes the software program. The software program operates to originate requests to the emulated data communications

35   network. A further step (c) may emulate at least one goat computer that is coupled to the emulated data

communications network; and another step (d) detects an occurrence of the desired behavior in at least one of the emulated network server, the emulated host computer and, if present, the at least one emulated goat computer. The

5   desired behavior can be indicative of, for example, a presence of an undesirable software entity, such as a worm or a virus, within the suspect software program. The desired behavior can be self-replication, and/or viral activity or some malicious activity such as modification or

10   deletion of a file. The step of detecting operates to detect, by example, at least one of a creation of a new file, a receipt of mail, an opening of mail, a posting of news, an opening of a new socket connection, an execution of a particular application, and an alteration of a system

15   registry.

Other embodiments of this invention can include, but need not be limited to, software reverse-engineering systems and software testing and debugging systems.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

20   The above set forth and other features of the invention are made more apparent in the ensuing Detailed Description of the Invention when read in conjunction with the attached Drawings, wherein:

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a data processor that is

25   suitable for use in implementing this invention;

Fig. 2 is a logical block diagram of a software monitoring and host/network emulation system in accordance with these teachings;

Figs. 3A and 3B are logic flow diagrams that illustrate a

30   method in accordance with these teachings; and

Fig. 4 is a diagram that illustrates a replication controller and its interconnectivity with various system functions and services.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

5   Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing an exemplary data processing or computer system 100 on which a preferred embodiment of the present invention operates. The computer system 100 includes a computer platform 102 having a hardware unit 103, and a software-analyzing program (SAP)

10   101 that implements the methods disclosed below. The SAP 101 operates on the computer platform 102 and hardware unit 103. The hardware unit 103 typically includes one or more central processing units (CPUs) 104, a random access memory (RAM) 105 and an input/output (I/O) interface 106.

15   Microinstruction code 107, for example a reduced instruction set, may also be included on the platform 102. Various peripheral components may be connected to the computer platform 102. Typically provided peripheral components include a display 109, a data storage device

20   (e.g. tape or disk) 110, and a printing device 111. A link 112 may also be included to connect the system 100 to one or more other similar computer systems shown simply as the block 113. The link 112 is used to transmit digital information between the computers 100 and 113. The link 112

25   may also provide access to the global Internet 113a. An operating system 114 coordinates the operation of the various components of the computer system 100, and is also responsible for managing various objects or files, and for recording certain information regarding same, such as date

30   and time last modified, file length, etc. A suitable example of computer system 100 is the IBM IntelliStation™ (IntelliStation is a trademark of the International Business Machines Corporation). It is expected that those skilled in the art will be familiar with many equivalent

computer systems 100, and the teachings of this invention
are not to be construed to be limited in any way to the
specific architecture depicted in Fig. 1.

5   In a presently preferred embodiment of this invention the
SAP 101 has access to a collection of objects, such as
files 115, which in some embodiments may be also referred
to as "suspect" files 115 or as "potentially infectable"
objects or files 115. The collection of potentially
infectable objects, such as the suspect files 115, can
10 comprise, by way of example, documents, executables, files
and boot records that are stored on the disk 110, and which
may also possibly be resident in the RAM 105.

The preferred embodiment of this invention comprises a
system and method for the replication and analysis of
15 "worm" programs. As employed herein, a worm program is one
which can clandestinely send a copy of itself between
computers on a computer network, and which uses a network
service or services to replicate. Examples of such network
services include, but are not limited to, routing, name
20 resolution and mail storage.

However, and as was mentioned previously, other embodiments
of this invention can include, but need not be limited to,
reverse-engineering systems and testing and debugging
systems.

25 Referring now as well to Fig. 2, in this embodiment the
system contains of a number of host computers, one of which
is a controller 201, one of which is a replicator 202, one
of which is a service provider 203, and the remainder of
which are at least one, but typically a plurality of "goat"
30 hosts 204. In a preferred embodiment the replicator 202,
service provider 203 and goat hosts 204 can all be emulated
host computers, and may all reside (logically) on the same

physical machine 210 (such as the data processor 100 shown in Fig. 1). However, other embodiments can instead employ a plurality of different physical machines. Also, in other embodiments there may be multiple controllers 201, multiple

5   replicators 202, multiple service providers 203, and/or multiple different types of goat hosts 204.

A function of the replicator host 202 is to accept a possible worm sample (suspect file 115) and attempt to replicate it. In the preferred embodiment the replicator

10   202 is an emulated computer that emulates the interfaces required for replication. In a setup process, prior to execution, an operating system and any applications required for replication (e.g., an email application) can be installed on an emulated hard disk (HD) 202a of the

15   replicator 202. In a preferred embodiment the emulated HD 202a can be loaded from one of a set of pre-installed disk images that contain the operating system and various application programs typically required by worms. If a loaded disk image does not elicit worm replication from a

20   suspect file 115, then another disk image can be selected from the set of disk images, loaded and then tried.

In the preferred embodiment of this invention the programs executing within the emulated host computers can access only an isolated or emulated network 220, and not a real

25   physical network 230 to which real physical computers are attached. This physical network 230 is shown in Fig. 1 to encompass the link 112, the other computers 113 (one of which can be the controller 201), as well as (optionally) the global Internet 113a. The emulated network 220

30   preferably provides a wide range of network services to enhance the probability that a worm, if present, will replicate. The service provider host 203 may thus provide standard Internet services such as, but not limited to, http, ftp, imap4, pop3, nntp, and other services. These

services are preferably configured in an advantageous way to allow a worm, if present, to replicate. For example, a ftp server preferably allows a writable directory to exist, with infectable targets that can in turn be downloaded and used by the goat hosts 204. This enables a worm to spread through the goat hosts 204 by using ftp. Another example provides the ftp server component of the service provider 203 with user directories that a user (e.g., an emulated user) can read from and write to using a password stored in a standard location in the replicator host 202 and the goat hosts 203.

The service provider server 202 can optionally be programmed to determine what result to return based at least in part on a result of a corresponding real query sent to a corresponding real server on a corresponding real, non-isolated network, such as the real global Internet 113a.

Referring now also to the logic flow diagram of Fig. 3A, when a suspect file 115 is to be analyzed, it is first delivered (Step 301), typically via the actual, non-emulated network 230, to the controller 201. The controller 201 may carry out a limited amount of static analysis 302, examining the static properties of the suspect file, assigning it a probable type reflecting the execution environment or environments that it appears to be intended to run under (the CPU-type for a binary program, the application-type for a document containing macros, and so on), and choosing at Step 303 an initial set of emulation conditions to be used during the emulation phase 310 (Steps 311, 312, 313). In other embodiments, these decisions could be made dynamically during the emulation phase 310, or some preselected set or subset of all possible execution environments and emulation conditions may be attempted in turn.

In the emulation phase 310, the emulated network environment, including the emulated replicator 202, service provider 203, the goat hosts 204, and the emulated network 220 connecting them, is initialized at Step 311 according
5   to the initial emulation conditions selected by the controller 201. Typically, the suspect file 115 is placed at Step 312 onto the emulated hard disk (HD) 202a of the replicator 202, and then executed at Step 313. Within all the emulated host machines 202, 203, 204 in the emulated
10   network 220 environment, activity generators 240 and activity monitors 241 can be provided for recording the events that occur, and for generating emulated traffic and other activity in an attempt to elicit replication (or other behavior of interest) from the suspect file 115. The
15   activity monitors 241 may be thought of as event handlers that monitor the system activity for, by example, file activity such as the creation of a new file on the emulated hard drive 202a, registry changes, and/or an arrival of email. An application handler (e.g. Lotus™ Notes™ script)
20   can also be provided. Further by example, if the application is Lotus Notes™, a Lotus Notes™ agent is created at system setup time to run a specific sequence of steps every time a new email is received.

Typically, an application agent reacts to the arrival of
25   the email by opening it, checking it for an attachment and, if one is present, detaching it and invoking an appropriate function of a replication controller interface (RCI) 202b. The RCI 202b includes interfaces that allow the communication between the event handlers, such as the
30   activity monitors 241, and the various functions of the replicator controller (RC) 202c. For example, COM technology can be used to implement this interface, where the replication controller 202c is implemented as a COM object, and its exposed functions are invoked directly by
35   the event handler. Fig. 4 shows the RC 202c and a typical

interconnectivity with various system services and functions.

If services required to react to received email are not provided by the selected email application, the functionality to open mail and/or detach attachments can be provided by the replication controller 202c itself. In this case the RC 202c can automate the mail application by simulating the user's actions such as sending keystrokes or Windows™ commands to open the mail and to detach the attached file. Since the application being used is known at setup time, the appropriate scripts for the email application's automation can also be provided at setup time.

Once the attachment is detached or a new file is detected, the RC 202c can determine its subsequent course of action based on the type of the file. For example, if the attachment or new file has a .doc extension, the RC 202c can launch Microsoft Word™ and execute appropriate scripts in an attempt to elicit macro replication. If a new file is a win32 executable, the RC 202c can instead invoke a win32 replication controller and then follow steps for win32 replication, such as running the executable, opening and closing goat files, executing goat files, etc. Reference in this regard may be had, for example, to the above referenced U.S. Patent Application S.N. 09/041,493, filed 03/12/98, entitled "Automated Sample Creation of Polymorphic and Non-Polymorphic Macro Viruses", by Morton G. Swimmer et al. While performing these steps, the RC 202c preferably monitors the activity monitor(s) 241 for occurrences of file changes, installation of services and drivers, registry changes, changes to installation files, and other signs of activity by an undesirable software entity, such as worms and viruses.

The selection of which scripts to use depends on the applications installed, which depends in turn on the type of file the possible worm resides in. The file type is determined at setup time, and thus the appropriate applications and scripts are preferably installed at that time.

In the preferred embodiment, while attempting worm replication, the RC 202c tries as well to observe local replication (i.e., in the replicator host 202) in the event that the suspect worm instead or also exhibits file virus properties. While this is not a requirement for worm replication, its use is preferred to provide a complete analysis.

In an alternate embodiment, the scripts can be dispatched from the controller 201. This allows for a coordinated replication of the worm. In this embodiment the RC 202c receives the scripts from the controller 201 vis the network link 230, or through some other channel, and acts on them in an appropriate fashion.

The RC 202c may also monitor the installation of services and drivers and can react to them by restarting the virtual machine or by any other appropriate measure. For example, if the worm installs itself as a system service it will not generally actively infect until the system is restarted.

In general, the activity generators 240 are programmed to emulate typical or specific system activity on at least one of the isolated (emulated) network 220 and the real or emulated host computer(s) 202, 203 and/or 204. The typical or specific activity that is emulated can include, but is not limited to, at least one of sending mail, opening mail, opening or executing a mail attachment, entry of

keystrokes, issuing of user commands, execution of a particular application, rebooting a real or emulated host computer, restarting a real or emulated host computer, reinitializing a real or emulated host computer, posting of
5   news, participation in real-time messaging (e.g., "chat"), and a transfer of files.

The activity monitor 241 may function as well as an event handler that is programmed so as to obtain control when a certain event or events, or a certain type of event or
10   events, occur. The certain events or types of events can include, but are not limited to, at least one of creation of a new file in a filesystem, a receipt of mail, an opening of mail, a posting of news, an opening of a new socket connection, an execution of a particular
15   application, and an alteration of a system registry.

The goat hosts 204 are generally similar to the replicator host 202. An important distinction, in the preferred embodiment, is that no suspect worm sample is intentionally installed, and the scripts are reactive or not specific to
20   the sample.

The controller 201 generally controls the overall worm replication process. The controller 201 is preferably "hardened" against the other hosts attached to the emulated network 220 and is responsible for setting up the other
25   hosts (202, 203, 204), starting and stopping the other hosts, and the analysis of resulting log files and (emulated) hard disks, such as the HD 202a.

In the preferred embodiment the controller 201 is the host machine, and disk images for the emulated hosts 202, 203
30   and 204 reside in the host machine's file system. In an alternative embodiment, where real machines are used for the hosts 202, 203 and 204, the disk images can be

retrieved from or stored to these machines via the isolated network 220. These disk images can be compared to the original disk images, and any changes can be treated as a suspect infection by a worm (or a virus). Any changes to or

5 additions of executable files are flagged as especially suspicious, and merit further analysis.

Referring now also to Fig. 3B, whenever a new file is created 321, a piece of mail sent 322, or a network connection established 323, the activity monitors 241

10 record the event activity 324 for later analysis, and also for real-time modification 325 of the traffic and other activity generated by the activity generators 240. In different embodiments of this invention, the traffic and other activity generated by the activity generators 240 may

15 be entirely determined in advance, may be entirely chosen in real-time in response to the activity noted by the activity monitors 241, or a combination of these two approaches may be used. In embodiments where only one, or a small number of very specific behaviors of the suspect

20 file 115 are of interest, a more limited set of activity monitors 241 may be employed.

A function of the service provider emulated host computer 203 is to carry out and/or emulate the various network services that would be found in the actual network being

25 emulated. These network services can include, by example, routing, name resolution, mail storage, Usenet news services, and so on. The service provider host 203 may implement or emulate at least one of an irc server, a chat server, a smtp server or a pop3, imap or other type of mail

30 server. In different embodiments of this invention different services may be provided and/or emulated by the service provider 203. In the preferred embodiment, the service provider 203 also contains activity generators 240 and activity monitors 241, so that actions that result from

the suspect file's presence in the emulated system can be recorded, and traffic and other activity generated in a way that is most likely to elicit the desired replication behavior. When the suspect file 115, or any other program

5   in the system, attempts to make queries of the production network or global Internet being emulated by 220, the service provider 203 returns results and information that mimic, to the required extent, the behavior of a real server situated in the corresponding real network (such as

10 the global Internet 113a). The details of the results and other activity provided by the service provider host computer 203 depend on the initial emulation conditions set up by the controller 201, and optionally on the activity so far recorded by the various activity monitors 241 and by

15 the service provider 203 itself.

There are a number of different ways that the service provider emulated host computer 203 may respond to requests that it receives from programs running on the replicator 202 and/or the goat host(s) 204. In a preferred

20 embodiment, the service provider 203 functions as an "optimistic" host or server and attempts, if possible, to always give a positive response; that is, to indicate success rather than failure, to return information rather than an error message, and in general to reply in such a

25 way that the program making the request is likely to proceed with whatever actions it is performing. For example, if the service provider 203 receives a Domain Name Service (DNS) message requesting the address of a host with a particular name, the service provider 203 can return a

30 reply to the effect that the host with that name has a certain address, where the address is one that (within the context of the emulated network environment 220) corresponds to the service provider host 203 itself. The service provider 203 can also include a real or an emulated

35 WINS or other Name server. As another example, when the

service provider 203 receives a Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) request for a given Web page, it returns a reply containing some data (either loaded from a standard template, or generated on the fly), rather than returning

5   an indication that the requested Web page does not exist. Similarly, requests to open a file always return an indication of success, and attempts to read data from a file always return with some data. In a practical sense, however, it can be realized that certain types of requests

10   will result in an error return eventually. For example, if the service provider 203 receives multiple requests to read sequentially from a particular resource, it should eventually return an indication that all of the data has been read, so that the process making the read requests can

15   continue its processing.

Further by example, the service provider 203 can implement a real or an emulated SNMP server, or a real or an emulated NetBIOS server.

It is also within the scope of these teachings that the

20   service provider 203, or some other real or emulated host, can comprise a real or an emulated server that operates in accordance with a System Message Block (SMB) protocol, a Network File System (NES) protocol, or some other distributed file system protocol.

25   The data returned by the service provider 203 may be obtained in a number of ways. For some types of requests, especially read requests, the service provider 203 can itself make the corresponding request on the actual external network 230, and relay to the requesting process

30   within the emulated network 220 the data that it receives in response. For other types of requests, the service provider 203 may return data that is provided by the controller 201 as part of the emulation conditions, or it

could return standard data read from a file, or data that
is constructed dynamically with the aid of a random number
generator. Other possibilities should be readily apparent
to those skilled in the art.

5   Still referring to Fig. 3B, if the activity monitors 241
determine at Step 326 that an observed activity is likely
to be an instance of worm replication, a signal may be sent
at Step 327 back to the controller 201 or to some other
host to record or log the event, and emulation is resumed
10   at Step 328.

Referring again to Fig. 3A, when some desired number of
replications have occurred (Step 331), the emulated system
is shut down by the controller 201 at Step 332, so that the
results of the emulation may be further analyzed at Step
15   333.   In other embodiments, the emulation may always be
allowed to run for a certain amount of time, or until a
certain number of other events have been recorded or
emulated, and the results then analyzed for occurrences of
replication or other salient events only after shutdown.
20   Similarly, if the emulation runs for some period of time
without any replication events being observed (Step 334),
the controller 201 may shut down the emulation at Step 335,
make a determination at Step 336 whether more conditions
should be tried, and then, optionally, restart the
25   emulation at Step 337 with a different set of initial
emulation conditions, until either sufficient replications
have occurred at Step 331 or all applicable initial
emulation conditions have been attempted. If the latter
condition occurs the emulation process may terminate at
30   Step 338. Other retry and termination conditions than
those specifically disclosed above are possible.

It should be noted that the embodiments described thus far
have been specialized for the discovery of worm-like

behavior in suspect programs and suspect files 115. However, in other embodiments of these teachings the system may elicit and record other types of behavior. For example, a general reverse-engineering system making use of this invention might record every file that is read from or written to by the file 115, as well as every communication socket that is opened. Further by example, a testing and debugging system might compare the behavior of the file 115 with a desired or specified function that the file is intended to implement. Based on these teachings other possibilities may occur to those skilled in the art. In these other embodiments the goat host(s) 204 may or may not be required.

Based on the foregoing description it can be appreciated that an aspect of this invention is a computer program embodied on at least one computer-readable medium for executing a method for eliciting a desired behavior from a software program, such as the suspect file 115. The method has steps of (a) emulating the data communications network 220 having at least one emulated network server 203 coupled thereto, where the at least one emulated network server 203 operates preferably, but not necessarily, as an optimistic server when responding to requests received from the emulated data communications network; and (b) emulating the host computer 202 that is coupled to the emulated data communications network 220, where the emulated host computer 202 executes the suspect software program. The suspect software program operates to originate requests to the emulated data communications network 220. A further step (c) may emulate at least one goat computer 204 that is coupled to the emulated data communications network 220; and another step (d) detects an occurrence of the desired behavior in at least one of the emulated network server 203, the emulated host computer 202, and the at least one emulated goat computer 204. The desired behavior may be

indicative of a presence of an undesirable software entity, such as a worm or a virus, within the suspect software program. The desired behavior may be self-replication, and/or viral activity or some malicious activity.

5   The step of detecting operates to detect, by example, at least one of a creation of a new file, a receipt of mail, an opening of mail, a posting of news, an opening of a new socket connection, an execution of a particular application, and an alteration of a system registry.

10   While the invention has been particularly shown and described with respect to preferred embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.